

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,015	VAN DER LAAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marcus Charles	3682	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Marcus Charles.

(3) _____.

 (2) Roland E. Long Jr.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2004
Time: 10:30 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to label figures 1 and 2 as "Prior Art". In addition, concern for antecedent problem for the claim language "the mathematical representation" in claim 10, was discussed. However, upon further examination, the examiner found out that claim 5, provide basis for the claim limitation.